

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 SEP 12 AM 9:41

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☒ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Brooks CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 9/5/2008

CASE NO.: 08cv0624 IEG (RBB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Rm DEPUTY

CASE TITLE: Clack v. Latimer, et al

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Response to Notice and Order for Telephonic Case Management Conference

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	<u>OTHER: No original signature or proof of service.</u>

Date forwarded: 9/5/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☒ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☐ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: UBR

Dated: 9/10/2008
cc: All Parties

By: Phil Brooks